Outcomes of Laparoscopic Common Bile Duct Exploration

Lect. Dr. Win Ko Ko Thet, AP Dr. Nay Aung Htun, AP Dr. Than Win, Prof. Dr. Moe Moe Tin Department of Surgery, University of Medicine 2, Yangon

Introduction

- Common bile duct stones are found in 10-15 percent of patients undergoing cholecystectomy.
- According to the EAES, these patients should be treated even if asymptomatic.
- But the ideal treatment for common bile duct stones is still controversial.

The main options are

 Two staged procedure of LC plus pre or post operative ERCP or

Single staged procedure of LC + LCBDE or

 Another alternative such as open operation or laparo-endoscopic single site surgery (LESS) Laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC) was first introduced in 1987 by Erich Muhe.

- First LCBDE was done by Joseph. B. Petellin in 1991 which offered a truly less invasive option compared to traditional open surgery.
- Rhodes and Gupta done LCBDE in 1998
- Cushieri started LCBDE in 1999

In Myanmar, LCBDE was started in ? 2015 ? in NOGH by ? Prof U Khin Zaw (Rector of UMMG).

- This study was hospital based cross sectional descriptive study
- Conducted at surgical ward of NOGH, Yangon.
- Over a period of one and half year from January 2015 to August 2017.
- Total of 27 patients with common bile duct stones were included.

Aim

• To find out the outcomes of laparoscopic common bile duct exploration in patients with common bile duct stone.

Objectives

- To describe the demographic data of patients with stone in common bile duct.
- To determine the percent of successful operation, percent of conversion, percent of stone clearance and percent of residual stone after laparoscopic common bile duct exploration.
- To determine post operative complications of laparoscopic common bile duct exploration.

Demographic data		Values		Ranges	
Age	and the second second	3			
	< 40 yrs		8 (29.6%)	1.5	
	40-60 yrs		17 (63%)	1	
	>60 yrs		2 (7.4%)	-	
Median age			53.7±0.4 yrs	(30-72yrs)	
Sex		Sec.			
	Male		8 (29.6%)		
	Female		19 (70.4%)		

Characteristics of common bile duct stone	Number	Percent	
	< 20 mm	14	51.9%
Size of largest stones	> 20 mm	13	48.1%
	≤ 4 in No.	16	59.3%
Number of stones	> 4 stones	11	40.7%
	Present	16	59.3%
Presence of intra-nepatic duct stones	Absent	11	40.7%
Size of CBD	Less than 20 mm	15	55.6%
	More than 20 mm	12	44.4%

Type of operation	Frequency	Percents
LCBDE and Primary closure	15	55.6%
LCBDE and T tube	6	22.2%
LCBDE and CD	3	11.1%
Open conversion	3	11.1%

Type of operation



Operative outcome	Number	Percent
Percent of stone clearance	23	85.2%
Percent of residual stone	4	14.8%
Percent of success rate of laparoscopic operation	24	88.9%
Percent of open conversion	3	11.1%

	Lap primary closure	Lap T tube	Lap CD	Open conversion
Operative time (mins)	131.3 ± 10.3	150±18.7	205±30.4	215±22
Post-op hospital stay (Days)	5±0.7	8.2±3.9	7.3±0.6	7.7±0.6
Morbidity	-	2	-	1
Mortality	-	-	-	-

		Stone size (mm)			Stone No			IHD ston	e		CBD size (mm)	size n)	
	<20	>20	р	<4	>4	р	+	-	р	<20	>20	р	
Stone clearance	13	10		15	8	0.40	12	11	0.07	15	8		
Retained stone	1	3	0.24	1	3	0.13	4	0	0.07	0	4	0.01	
Open conversion	0	3	0.05	0	3	0.02	3	0	0.10	0	3	0.04	
Successful LCBDE	14	10		16	8	0.02	13	11		15	9		

Complications	Number	Percent
Significant intra-operative bleeding	0	0%
Wound infection	1	3.7%
Bile leak	1	3.7%
Post-operative jaundice	0	0%
Post-operative fever	1	3.7%
Post-operative ileus	1	3.7%
Chest infecction	0	0%
Post operative diarrhoea	1	3.7%
Total	5	18.4%

Conclusion

- According to above findings, LCBDE provide high stone clearance rate, high success rate, low conversion rate, low residual stone rate with acceptable complications.
- Therefore, if there is feasibility of surgical skill, surgical instrument and device, LCBDE should be considered as a valuable option in the management of common bile duct stones.

videos

THANKS YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION